



## **Final Report on the Selection Process**

## Call # 4 - Implementation of pilot projects of living labs for decarbonisation and climate change mitigation <sup>1</sup>

- The call for proposals opened at the EEA Grants Site on January the 30<sup>th</sup> 2020 and closed on October the 20<sup>th</sup> 2020.
- Seven eligible applications were received summing up a total requested funding of 9.092.042,46€. Being the allocation available 6 058 801,09 €, the projects submitted made up 1.5 times the allocation available
- According to point 13.2 of the Call after the scoring process of the projects, the Secretary General for Environment provided the ranked list of projects to the Selection Committee and to FMO.
- The projects funding rate was screened in order to comply with state Aid rules in force and consider any and all other forms of public support granted to projects. Any remaining costs of the project shall be provided or obtained by the project's promoters.
- The legal status of each entity, either project promoted or partner, was assessed in face of the Article 25.º of Commission Regulation (EU) n.º 651/2014, of 16 June, declaring certain categories of aid compatible with the internal market, in application of Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty (GBER).
- Following to the 1rst meeting of the Selection Committee on the 29<sup>th</sup> of January, the Programme Operator (PO), verified that the selection process has been conducted in accordance with the "Regulation on the implementation of the European Economic Area (EEA) Financial Mechanism 2014-2021" and that the recommendations from the Selection Committee comply with the rules and objectives of the programme, the PO notified the applicants about the provisional results of the selection process, on the 1rst of February 2021.

 $^{1}\,https://www.eeagrants.gov.pt/en/programmes/environment/calls/call4-implementation-of-pilot-projects-of-living-labs-for-decarbonisation-and-climate-change-mitigation/$ 





• The provisional list of the projects ranked by Overall Score (OS) is presented below:

Final Provisional List of the candidate projects, ranked by Overall Score

Application number	Municipality - Name of the Project*	Overall Score (0-100 points)	Funding of Environment Programme ajusted by project merit and limit of allocation fund	Project grant rate %
03/Call #4	Porto Asprela + Sustentável *	99,22	986 137,19 €	68
01/Call #4	Cascais SmartPole *	97,71	959 163,83 €	82
06/Call #4	Loures PAB_LivingLab +	94,44	900 931,48 €	81
05/Call #4	Sintra SMILE -*	93	875 400,00 €	74
07/Call #4	Lisboa Hub Criativo do Beato	88,44	794 187,50 €	40
02/Call #4	Sintra I4efficiency *	88,19	779 324,69 €	71
04/Call #4	Gaia Living Lab da Afurada *	87,91	763 656,39 €	70
Total			6 058 801,089 €	

<sup>\*</sup> Projects with Partners from Donor Countries

- It started then the period of ten working days for appealing from the project promoters of their classification. This period ended on 16 February 2021.
- Four project promoters have agreed with the Provisional List of the candidate projects, ranked by Overall Score, adjusted by project merit and limit of allocation fund, presented on the document "Results of the Selection Committee Provisional List".
- Three promoters have submitted their allegations. The table below summarizes these project's allegations. On annex the complains and the response to allegations.





Application n.º:	Name of the	Dromotor		Appeal Appeal					
Application n.º:	Project	Promotor	date	Kind of appeal	Content	Annexes			
04_Call#4	Gaia Living Lab da	CEDES – ASSOCIAÇÃO	15/02/2021	Utterance	Criteria A Technical knowledge of the project team: applicant and partners involved:	Living_LAB_Afurad			
	Afurada	PARA UM CENTRO DE				a_EXPOSIÇÃO.pdf			
		ESTUDOS EM							
		DESENVOLVIMENTO			Criteria C Overall quality of the application submitted				
		SUSTENTÁVEL			Calendar and scheduling of activities				
		04/Call#4 - LIVING LAB							
		DA AFURADA			Detailed Technical Analysis				
					Financial sustainability of the project.				

		Reply		Overa	ll Score
date	Who	Contend	Annexes	Provisional List	After Appealing
03/03/2021	Experts	The Team CVs do not cover all the priority areas. The score is confirmed	04_Call#4_Pronun cia_RespostaOP CEDES- GAIA	87,91	94,16
	Experts	The calendar exceed the time frame, but after clarification the score was upgraded to 5 points			
	Experts	This is a comment field. The sourcing of the project is based on the criteria set out at the call regulation.  The financial indicators relating to the promotor are at a risk level. As such the financial indicators relating to 2 partners with 33% of the cost of the project were considered.			
	РО	Considering the financial indicators on the provisional map the score is upgraded 5 points.			





Order of	Application n.º:	Name of the	Promotor		•	Appeal	
classification	Application II.=:	Project	Promotor	date	Kind of appeal	Content	Annexes
3ō	06_Call#4	PAB_LivingLab -	AIDGLOBAL, Promotor	12/02/2021	Utterance	Criteria E - Financial sustainability of the project	2021_02_16_Carta
		Loures -Vive a	do ProJet			The promoter is an NGO. As such expects to get the 90% maximum of financial support. And not 81%,.	_Reclamacao_AIDG
		descarbonização					LOBAL
		no Parque Adão					
		Barata				Methodology of the fund Allocation:	
						According to Regulation only one project per municipality should be approved	
3ō	06_Call#4	PAB_LivingLab -	Câmara Municipal de	16/02/2021	Utterance	Methodology of the fund Allocation:	S-6409-2021
		Loures -Vive a	Loures- DESA-Divisão				
		descarbonização	de Energia e			According to Regulation only one project per municipality should be approved.	
		no Parque Adão	Sustentabilidade				
		Barata	Ambiental, Parceiro de				
			ProJet				
3ō	06_Call#4	PAB_LivingLab -	IST, Parceiro de Projeto	18/02/2021	Utterance	Methodology of the fund Allocation:	Posição do IST face
		Loures -Vive a				According to Regulation only one project per municipality should be approved	aos resultados
		descarbonização					provisórios aviso
		no Parque Adão					de concurso 4.pdf
		Barata					





		Reply	•	Overa	II Score
date	Who	Contend	Annexes	Provisional List	After Appealing
04/03/2021	Experts	The Call regulation establishes on point 6, "6. FINANCING RATE: The eligible costs of the selected project shall be financed up	06_Call#4_AIDGLO	94,44	94,44
		until a maximum of 50% grant amount, except where the project promoters are non-governmental organizations, hereinafter	BAL Promotor		
		referred to as NGOs, or social partners, in accordance with 1.6 (n) and (y) of the 'Regulation on the implementation of the	Pronuncia_Respost		
		European Economic Area (EEA) Financial Mechanism 2014-2021', in which case maximum grant amount is 90%. The project rate	aOP LOURES		
		shall in all cases be set at a level that complies with state Aid rules in force and takes into account any and all other forms of			
		public support granted to projects. Any remaining costs of the project shall be provided or obtained by the project promoter as			
		established at Article 6.4.4 of 'Regulation on the implementation of the European Economic Area Financial Mechanism 2014-			
		2021' (EEA FM 2014-2021 Regulation)." Considering this we confirm the methodology adopted of distributing the allocation			
		available by all the seven candidates, adjusting the reduction needed to meet the allocation limit, according to the merit as			
		explained on the document "Results of the Selection Committee – Provisional List" which we quote "Being the difference			
		between the requested funding and the call allocation 904 932,86 €, and the total sum of differences of each overall score to			
		100%, 51,9% points, each percentage point difference to 100% reduces the amount in 17 712,52€. As such, the column '2			
		Funding of Environment Programme adjusted by project merit and limit of allocation fund' reflects the adjustment by merit."			
		The percentage of 90% is a maximum value, as set out by the expression "up to". In the light of the above we confirm the			
		methodology adopted, which complies thoroughly with the call regulation.			





04/03/2021	PO	The Call regulation establishes on point 1:. "PRIORITY AREAS The purpose of this Call is to support at least one application for	06_Call#4_AIDGLO	94,44	94,44
		each municipality within the applicable territorial scope". It does not determine a maximum n. of projects per municipality, i.e. it	BAL Promotor		
		does not limit to "only one "project per municipality. As referred the aim is to support at least one project per municipality. At	Pronuncia_Respost		
		the Call regulation, point 12, SELECTION CRITERIA I tis established that the criteria for financing is the overall score, with the	aOP LOURES		
		limits established on point 3 and 6: "3. TOTAL AVAILABLE ALLOCATION: The allocation available for this Call is: 6.058.823€			
		Minimum grant amount of financing for each project: 200.000€. Maximum grant amount of financing for each project:			
		1.000.000€", "6. FINANCING RATE: The eligible costs of the selected project shall be financed up until a maximum of 50% grant			
		amount, except where the project promoters are non-governmental organizations, hereinafter referred to as NGOs, or social			
		partners, in accordance with 1.6 (n) and (y) of the 'Regulation on the implementation of the European Economic Area (EEA)			
		Financial Mechanism 2014-2021', in which case maximum grant amount is 90%. The project rate shall in all cases be set at a level			
		that complies with state Aid rules in force and takes into account any and all other forms of public support granted to projects.			
		Any remaining costs of the project shall be provided or obtained by the project promoter as established at Article 6.4.4 of			
		'Regulation on the implementation of the European Economic Area Financial Mechanism 2014-2021' (EEA FM 2014-2021			
		Regulation)." Considering this we confirm the methodology adopted of distributing the allocation available by all the seven			
		candidates, adjusting the reduction needed to meet the allocation limit, according to the merit as explained on the document			
		"Results of the Selection Committee – Provisional List" which we quote "Being the difference between the requested funding and			
		the call allocation 904 932,86 €, and the total sum of differences of each overall score to 100%, 51,9% points, each percentage			
		point difference to 100% reduces the amount in 17 712,52€. As such, the column '2 Funding of Environment Programme			
		adjusted by project merit and limit of allocation fund' reflects the adjustment by merit." In the light of the above we confirm the			
		methodology adopted, which complies thoroughly with the call regulation.			





04/03/2021	PO	The Call regulation establishes on point 1:. "PRIORITY AREAS The purpose of this Call is to support at least one application for	06_Call#4_ IST	94,44	94,44
		each municipality within the applicable territorial scope". It does not determine a maximum n. of projects per municipality, i.e. it	Pronuncia_Respost		
		does not limit to "only one "project per municipality. As referred the aim is to support at least one project per municipality. At	aOP LOURES		
		the Call regulation, point 12, SELECTION CRITERIA I tis established that the criteria for financing is the overall score, with the			
		limits established on point 3 and 6: "3. TOTAL AVAILABLE ALLOCATION: The allocation available for this Call is: 6.058.823€			
		Minimum grant amount of financing for each project: 200.000€. Maximum grant amount of financing for each project:			
		1.000.000€", "6. FINANCING RATE: The eligible costs of the selected project shall be financed up until a maximum of 50% grant			
		amount, except where the project promoters are non-governmental organizations, hereinafter referred to as NGOs, or social			
		partners, in accordance with 1.6 (n) and (y) of the 'Regulation on the implementation of the European Economic Area (EEA)			
		Financial Mechanism 2014-2021', in which case maximum grant amount is 90%. The project rate shall in all cases be set at a level			
		that complies with state Aid rules in force and takes into account any and all other forms of public support granted to projects.			
		Any remaining costs of the project shall be provided or obtained by the project promoter as established at Article 6.4.4 of			
		'Regulation on the implementation of the European Economic Area Financial Mechanism 2014-2021' (EEA FM 2014-2021			
		Regulation)." Considering this we confirm the methodology adopted of distributing the allocation available by all the seven			
		candidates, adjusting the reduction needed to meet the allocation limit, according to the merit as explained on the document			
		"Results of the Selection Committee – Provisional List" which we quote "Being the difference between the requested funding and			
		the call allocation 904 932,86 €, and the total sum of differences of each overall score to 100%, 51,9% points, each percentage			
		point difference to 100% reduces the amount in 17 712,52€. As such, the column '2 Funding of Environment Programme			
		adjusted by project merit and limit of allocation fund' reflects the adjustment by merit." In the light of the above we confirm the			
		methodology adopted, which complies thoroughly with the call regulation.			





Order of	Application n.º:	Name of the	Promotor		•	Appeal	•
classification	Application II	Project	Fidiliotoi	date	Kind of appeal	Content	
6º	07_Call#4	Lisboa Hub	AIEL – Associação para	11/02/2021	Utterance	Criteria A: - Criteria A Technical knowledge of the project team: applicant and partners involved:	Audiência_Prévia_r
		Criativo do Beato	a Inovação e			Balanced representation of women and men should get the maximum score.	equerimento_aleg
			Empreendedorismo de			Criteria B-Inclusion of partners from Donor State(s)	ações_07_Call #4
			Lisboa				
						The inclusion of partners from donor countries was implied on the activities.	
						On thee document F "Results of the Selection Committee – Provisional List there is a table were this projects is indicated as having partners from donor countries	
						Criteria D Monitoring plan and information management, system of indicators to be implemented and goals to be achieved, including the estimated number of jobs	
						<u>created</u>	
						The Monitoring plan is under valued.	
						Methodology of the fund Allocation:	
						The methodology should take into account the co-financing effort of the project.	
						According to Regulation only one project per municipality should be approved	





date	Who	Contend	Annexes	Provisional List	After Appealing
04/03/2021	PO	The score was upgraded to 100% on the balance representation of women and men.	Audiência_Prévia_r equerimento_aleg ações_07_Call	88,44	91,25
	Experts	There were no Partners form Donor Countries presented on the application	#4.pdf		
	РО	There were no Partners form Donor Countries presented on the application, neither any letter of interest.			
	РО	The information on the table referred was a mistake, already corrected and it did not reflect in any way on the Overall Score, as this criteria is scored as zero, as it should.  The application does not include the following indicators listed on the Annex 1 of the call:: "Number of innovative financial mitigation	-		
		measures" "n. of low carbon measures financed" Number of awareness campaigns" As such the score is not changed.,			
	PO	The co-financing rate is not considered on the regulation call as a criteria for the project financing. As such it can not be introduced as criteria, at this stage.  The criteria is based on point 12: SELECTION CRITERIA:  Applications shall be assessed in accordance with the following criteria, which shall be awarded a score of 0 points (min.) to 100 points (max.). The overall score of each application is obtained with the			
		following formula:  Overall Score (OS) = [(A × 0,15) + (B × 0,05) + (C × 0,30) + (D × 0,15) + (E × 0,10) + (F × 0,25)] = 100  whereby: A – Technical know-how of the project team; B – Inclusion of partner entities of the Donor  State(s); C – General quality of the submitted application; D – Information monitoring and management plan and system of indicators to be implemented; E – Financial sustainability of the project; F– Specific criteria.			
	PO	The Call regulation establishes on point 1:. "PRIORITY AREAS The purpose of this Call is to support at least one application for each municipality within the applicable territorial scope". It does not determine a maximum n. of projects per municipality, i.e. it does not limit to "only one "project per municipality. As referred the aim is to support at least one project per municipality. At the Call regulation, point 12, SELECTION CRITERIA I tis established that the criteria for financing is the overall score, with the limits established on point 3 and 6: "3. TOTAL AVAILABLE ALLOCATION: The allocation available for this Call is: 6.058.823€ Minimum grant amount of financing for each project: 200.000€. Maximum grant amount of financing for each project: 1.000.000€", "6. FINANCING RATE: The eligible costs of the selected project shall be financed up until a maximum of 50% grant amount, except where the project promoters are non-governmental organizations, hereinafter referred to as NGOs, or social partners, in accordance with 1.6 (n) and (y) of the 'Regulation on the implementation of the European Economic Area (EEA) Financial Mechanism 2014-2021', in which case maximum grant amount is 90%. The project rate shall in all cases be set at a level that complies with state Aid rules in force and takes into account any and all other forms of public support granted to projects. Any remaining costs of the project shall be provided or obtained by the project promoter as established at Article 6.4.4 of 'Regulation on the implementation of the European Economic Area Financial Mechanism 2014-2021' (EEA FM 2014-2021 Regulation)." Considering this we confirm the methodology adopted of distributing the allocation available by all the seven candidates, adjusting the reduction needed to meet the allocation limit, according to the merit as explained on the document "Results of the Selection Committee — Provisional List" which we quote "Being the difference between the requested funding and the call allocation 904 932,86 €, and the			





- The PO analysed these allegations, submitted them to the experts' appreciation, reviewed in detail each of the applications, and gave formal feed-back to each of the promoters and partners allegations, justifying their classification.
- In two of the projects the classification was upgraded. As consequence the ranking of the projects had been changed as well as the Funding of Environment Programme adjusted by project merit and limit of allocation fund as presented at the table below, 'Final List of the candidate projects, ranked by Overall Score, adjusted by project merit and limit of allocation fund'.

Final List of the candidate projects, ranked by Overall Score, adjusted by project merit and limit of allocation fund'

Application number	Municipality - Name of the Project*	Overall Score (0-100 points) (in red upgraded)	Total Project Cost	Funding of Environment Programme according to grant rate and maximum by project	Funding of Environment Programme adjusted by project merit and limit of allocation fund	Grant rate (adjusted by project merit and limit of allocation fund) %	Extra amount co-financed by Consortium
	Porto Asprela						
03/Call #4	+ Sustentável *	99,22	1 446 355,73 €	1 000 000,00 €	983 229,37 €	68	16 770,63 €
	Cascais		-				
01/Call #4	SmartPole *	97,71	1 174 028,04 €	1 000 000,00 €	950 598,17 €	81	49 401,83 €
	Loures PAB_LivingLa						
06/Call #4	b +	94,44	1 110 899,78 €	999 809,80 €	880 178,31 €	79	119 631,50 €
	Gaia Living Lab da						
04/Call #4	Afurada *	94,16	1 084 301,00 €	975 870,90 €	850 114,27 €	78	125 756,63 €
05/Call #4	Sintra SMILE _*	93,00	1 178 621,03 €	1 000 000,00 €	849 264,31 €	72	150 735,69 €
	Lisboa Hub Criativo do						
07/Call #4	Beato	91,25	2 000 000,00 €	1 000 000,00 €	811 580,39 €	41	188 419,61 €
02/Call #4	Sintra I4efficiency *	88,19	1 097 836,88 €	988 053,19 €	733 836,26 €	67	254 216,93 €
Total			9 092 042,46 €	6 963 733,89 €	6 058 801,09 €		904 932,80 €

<sup>\*</sup> Projects with Partners from Donor Countries

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rational of the adjustment by project merit and limit of allocation fund





Difference between the requested funding and the call allocation	Total sum of differences of each overall score to 100%	For each project, each percentage point difference to 100%, reduces the funding amount in:  (e.g: in project 03 =(100-99,22)* €21533,67 =€16 770,63)  Extra amount co-financed by promoter 03
904 932,86 €	42,02%	21 533,67€

Being the difference between the requested funding and the call allocation 904 932,86 €, and the total sum of differences of each overall score to 100%, 42,02% points, each percentage point difference to 100% reduces the amount in 21 533,67€. As such, the column '2 Funding of Environment Programme adjusted by project merit and limit of allocation fund' reflects the adjustment by merit.

The Secretary General for Environment,
Alexandra Carvalho